| | Case 2:23-cv-00009-AC Document 3 | Filed 01/05/23 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | SHAUNA DECKER on behalf of M.D., | No. 2:23-cv-00009-AC |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING IFP AND DIRECTING E-SERVICE |
| 13 | V. | DIRECTING E-SERVICE |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL | |
| 15 | SECURITY, Defendant. | |
| 16 | Defendant. | |
| 17 | Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. <u>See</u> | |
| 18 | 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (authorizing the commencement of an action "without prepayment of fees or | |
| 19 | security" by a person that is unable to pay such fees). ECF No. 2. Plaintiff submitted the | |
| 20 | required affidavit, which demonstrates an inability to prepay fees and costs or give security for | |
| 21 | them. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
| 22 | 1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is GRANTED; | |
| 23 | 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to issue a summons for this case; | |
| 24 | 3. In keeping with the court's e-serving | ce procedure for Social Security cases, ² service |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | Actions involving review of Social Security decisions are referred to a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(15). | |
| 27 | ² http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/news/new-social-security-case-procedures- | |
| 28 | effective-after-june-15-2021/ | <u> </u> |

Case 2:23-cv-00009-AC Document 3 Filed 01/05/23 Page 2 of 2

on the defendant Commissioner of Social Security Administration shall proceed under the court's E-Service program as follows. Once a summons is issued, the Clerk of Court shall deliver to the Commissioner of Social Security Administration and the United States Attorney's Office at their designated email addresses a notice of electronic filing of the action along with the summons and complaint. The Commissioner has agreed not to raise a defense of insufficient service of process if provided with notice of a complaint as detailed in this order. This order is not intended to prevent parties from making any other motions that are appropriate under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and

4. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue a scheduling order in this case. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 4, 2023

allison Claire

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE